Some people believe that the countryside is better than cities <u>to raise children</u>; do you agree or disagree?

There is no doubt that the environment in which children are brought up ~~are~~ is an imperative factor ~~on~~ in their growth process. While many people choose to raise their children ~~on~~ in rural areas, it seems to me urban areas have been a much better choice.

Firstly, the major conurbations offer numerous entertainment and sport facilities for children which are not available in rural areas. ~~These~~ this abundance of facilities, if efficiently employed by parents ~~employ them efficiently~~, can be used to enhance children's intellectual and physical abilities; for example, there are numerous cinemas, theaters, and cultural venues whose explicit purpose is to either educate or simply entertain offsprings. On the contrary, growing up in small and remote areas, children do not have the opportunity to take part in these extra-curricular activities, nor can they keep up with their peers ~~on~~ in big cities. They might, as a result, feel outdated or outcast as an adult.

Also, in big cities, children would benefit from a higher quality of education. Although governments have promoted standard distribution of educational opportunities in recent years, we are still witnessing a huge gap and inequalities in terms of equipment, laboratories, and qualified teachers. In many cases, resources to spend at schools with ~~the~~ a lower number of pupils are not even near enough to equip small schools with such expensive educational supplies and certified human resources. For instance, if a student studied in a small town school, he would not probably be able to experiment physics equations or chemical ~~interactions~~ reactions in a science lab.

In conclusion, my view is that ~~upbringing~~ bringing up children in cities ~~are~~ is far more advantageous, and parents should neither limit nor risk children's educational prospect on so crucial a matter.